Transient random walks on 2d-oriented lattices

Nadine Guillotin-Plantard[*][†], Arnaud Le Ny[‡]

January 5, 2006

Abstract:

We study the asymptotic behavior of the simple random walk on oriented versions of $\mathbb{Z}^2$. The considered lattices are not directed on the vertical axis but unidirectional on the horizontal one, with random orientations whose distributions are generated by a dynamical system. We find a sufficient condition on the smoothness of the generation for the transience of the simple random walk on almost every such oriented lattices, and as an illustration we provide a wide class of examples of inhomogeneous or correlated distributions of the orientations. For ergodic dynamical systems, we also prove a strong law of large numbers and, in the particular case of i.i.d. orientations, we solve an open problem and prove a functional limit theorem in the space $\mathcal{D}([0, \infty[, \mathbb{R}^2)$ of càdlàg functions, with an unconventional normalization.

---
[*]Université Claude Bernard - Lyon I, institut Camille Jordan, bâtiment Braconnier, 43 avenue du 11 novembre 1918, 69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France. E-mail: nadine.guillotin@univ-lyon1.fr

[†]Research partially support by the RDSES program of the ESF.

[‡]Université de Paris-Sud, laboratoire de mathématiques, bâtiment 425, 91405 Orsay cedex, France. E-mail: arnaud.leny@math.u-psud.fr

1   Introduction

The use of random walks as a tool in mathematical physics is now well established and they have been for example widely used in classical statistical mechanics to study critical phenomena [4]. Analogous methods in quantum statistical mechanics require the study of random walks on oriented lattices, due to the intrinsic non commutative character of the (quantum) world [3, 13]. Although random walks in random and non-random environments have been intensively studied for many years, only a few results on random walks on oriented lattices are known. The recurrence or transience properties of simple random walks on oriented versions of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ are studied in [2] when the horizontal lines are unidirectional towards a random or deterministic direction. An interesting feature of this model is that, depending on the orientation, the walk could be either recurrent or transient. In a particular deterministic case, alternatively oriented horizontally rightwards and leftwards, the recurrence of the simple random walk is proved, whereas the transience naturally arises when the orientations are all identical in infinite regions. More surprisingly, it is also proved that the recurrent character of the simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ is lost when the orientations are i.i.d. with zero mean.

In this paper, we study more general models and focus on spatially inhomogeneous or dependent distributions of the orientations. We introduce lattices for which the distribution of the orientation is generated by a dynamical system and prove that the transience of the simple random walk still holds under smoothness conditions on this generation. We detail examples and counterexamples for various standard dynamical systems. For ergodic dynamical systems, we also prove a strong law of large numbers and, in the case of i.i.d. orientations, a functional limit theorem with an unconventional normalization due to the random character of the environment of the walk, solving an open question of [2].

The model and our results are stated in Section 2, Section 3 is devoted to the proofs, while illustrative examples of such dynamical orientations are given in Section 4.

2   Model and results

2.1   Dynamically oriented lattices

Let $S = (E, \mathcal{A}, \mu, T)$ be a dynamical system where $(E, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ is a probability space and T is an invertible transformation of E preserving the measure μ. This system is used to introduce inhomogeneity or dependencies in the distribution of the random orientations, together with a function f from E to $[0, 1]$, which satisfies $\int_E f d\mu = 1/2$ to avoid trivialities. By *orientations*, we mean a random field $\epsilon = (\epsilon_y)_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \{-1, +1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, i.e. a family ϵ of $\{-1, +1\}$-valued random variables ϵ_y, $y \in \mathbb{Z}$, and we distinguish two different approaches to introduce its distribution.

2.1.1   Quenched case:

It describes orientations spatially inhomogeneously distributed. For $x \in E$ the *quenched law* $\mathbb{P}_T^{(x)}$ is the product probability measure on $\{-1, +1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, equipped with the product $\sigma-$algebra $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}(\{-1, +1\})^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}}$, whose marginals can be given by:

$$\mathbb{P}_T^{(x)}(\epsilon_y = +1) = f(T^y x).$$

To simplify, we have used the same notation for the quenched law and its marginals, which should be written $\mathbb{P}_{T,y}^{(x)}$ with $\mathbb{P}_T^{(x)} = \otimes_y \mathbb{P}_{T,y}^{(x)}$. This quenched case is an extension of the i.i.d.

case, with independent but not necessarily identically distributed random variables. These random variables can be viewed as the increments of a dynamic random walk [5, 6].

2.1.2 Annealed case:

We average on $x \in E$: the distribution of ϵ is now $\mathbb{P}_\mu$ defined for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$ by

$$\mathbb{P}_\mu[\epsilon \in A] = \int_E \mathbb{P}_T^{(x)}[\epsilon \in A] d\mu(x).$$

The marginals are thus given for all $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\mathbb{P}_\mu[\epsilon_y = +1] = \int_E f(T^y x) d\mu(x) = \int_E f(x) d\mu(x) = \frac{1}{2}$$

and the hypothesis $\int_E f d\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ has been taken to get $\mathbb{E}_\mu[\epsilon_y] = 0$. The T-invariance of μ implies the translation-invariance of $\mathbb{P}_\mu$ but this latter is not a product measure in general: The correlations of the dynamical system for the function f, defined for all $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_\mu^f(y) &:= \int_E f(x) \cdot f \circ T^y(x) d\mu(x) - \int_E f(x) d\mu(x) \cdot \int_E f \circ T^y(x) d\mu(x) \quad (2.1) \\
&= \int_E f(x) f(T^y(x)) d\mu(x) - \frac{1}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

are indeed related with the covariance of the ϵ's after a short computation:

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathrm{Cov}_\mu(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_y) = 4\, C_\mu^f(y). \quad (2.2)$$

One can thus construct dependent variables whose dependence is directly related to the correlations of the dynamical system. This annealed case leads in Section 4 to another extension of the i.i.d. case where, independence is dropped but translation-invariance is kept.

2.1.3 Lattices

We use these orientations to build *dynamically oriented lattices*. They are oriented versions of $\mathbb{Z}^2$: the vertical lines are not oriented and the horizontal ones are unidirectional, the orientation at a level $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ being given by the random variable ϵ_y (say right if the value is $+1$ and left if it is -1). More formally we give the

Definition 1 (Dynamically oriented lattices) *Let $\epsilon = (\epsilon_y)_{y \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of orientations defined as previously. The* dynamically oriented lattice $\mathbb{L}^\epsilon = (\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{A}^\epsilon)$ *is the (random) directed graph with (deterministic) vertex set $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{Z}^2$ and (random) edge set $\mathbb{A}^\epsilon$ defined by the condition that for $u = (u_1, u_2), v = (v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, $(u, v) \in \mathbb{A}^\epsilon$ if and only if $v_1 = u_1$ and $v_2 = u_2 \pm 1$, or $v_2 = u_2$ and $v_1 = u_1 + \epsilon_{u_2}$.*

2.2 Simple random walk on $\mathbb{L}^\epsilon$

We consider the simple random walk $M = (M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ on $\mathbb{L}^\epsilon$. For every realization ϵ, it is a $\mathbb{Z}^2$-valued Markov chain defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mathbb{P})$, whose ($\epsilon$-dependent) transition probabilities are defined for all $(u, v) \in \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}$ by

$$
\mathbb{P}[M_{n+1} = v | M_n = u] =
\begin{cases}
\frac{1}{3} & \text{if } (u, v) \in \mathbb{A}^\epsilon \\
\\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
$$

Its transience is proved in [2] for almost every orientation ϵ when the ϵ_y's are i.i.d. and we generalize it in this dynamical context when the orientations are either *annealed* or *quenched*.

Theorem 1 *Assume that*

$$\int_E \frac{1}{\sqrt{f(1-f)}}\, d\mu < \infty \tag{2.3}$$

then:

1. *In the annealed case, for $\mathbb{P}_\mu$-a.e. orientation ϵ, the simple random walk on dynamically oriented lattice $\mathbb{L}^\epsilon$ is transient.*

2. *In the quenched case, for μ-a.e. $x \in E$, for $\mathbb{P}_T^{(x)}$-a.e. realization of the orientation ϵ, the simple random walk on the dynamically oriented lattice $\mathbb{L}^\epsilon$ is transient.*

Remarks

1. Non-invertible transformations T of the space E can also be considered and in this case it is straightforward to extend the conclusions of Theorem 1 if the distribution of the orientations $(\epsilon_y)_{y \in \mathbb{Z}}$ have marginals defined by $\mathbb{P}_T^{(x)}(\epsilon_y = +1) = f(T^{|y|}x)$. The measure $\mathbb{P}_\mu$ is not stationary anymore in the annealed case (see the example of Manneville-Pomeau maps of the interval in Section 4).

2. In Section 4 we exhibit dynamical systems for which Theorem 1 applies (Bernoulli or Markov Shifts, Manneville-Pomeau maps), but also counter-examples from a family of dynamical systems (irrational and rational rotations on the torus). The latter case provides instructive examples: when the function f satisfies (2.3), the ergodicity (or not) of the dynamical system is not required, whereas when f does not fulfil (2.3), the properties of the underlying dynamical system can play a role, e.g. in the non-ergodic case when, according to the rational angle we choose, the simple random walk on the corresponding oriented lattice can be transient or recurrent.

2.3 Limit theorems in the ergodic case

Let us assume that the dynamical system $S = (E, \mathcal{A}, \mu, T)$ defined in Section 2.1 is ergodic.

Theorem 2 (Strong law of large numbers) *The random walk on the lattice $\mathbb{L}^\epsilon$ has $\mathbb{P} \otimes \mathbb{P}_\mu$-almost surely zero speed, i.e.*

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{M_n}{n} = (0,0) \qquad \mathbb{P} \otimes \mathbb{P}_\mu - \text{almost surely.} \tag{2.4}$$

2.3.1 Functional limit theorem for i.i.d. orientations

We also answer in this paper to an open question of [2] and obtain a functional limit theorem with a suitable normalization. We establish that the study of the simple random walk on $\mathbb{L}^\epsilon$ is closely related to a *simple random walk in a random scenery* defined for every $n \geq 1$ by

$$Z_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \epsilon_{Y_k}$$

4

where $(Y_k)_{k \geq 0}$ is the simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}$ starting from 0. Consider a standard Brownian motion $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$, denote by $(L_t(x))_{t \geq 0}$ its corresponding local time at $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and introduce a pair of independent Brownian motions $(Z_+(x), Z_-(x)), x \geq 0$ defined on the same probability space as $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and independent of him. The following process is well-defined for all $t \geq 0$:

$$\Delta_t = \int_0^\infty L_t(x) dZ_+(x) + \int_0^\infty L_t(-x) dZ_-(x). \tag{2.5}$$

It has been proved by Kesten *et al.* [12] that this process has a self-similar continuous version of index $\frac{3}{4}$, with stationary increments. We denote $\overset{\mathcal{D}}{\Longrightarrow}$ for a convergence in the space of càdlàg functions $\mathcal{D}([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ endowed with the Skorohod topology.

Theorem 3 [Kesten and Spitzer (1979)]

$$\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/4}} Z_{[nt]} \right)_{t \geq 0} \overset{\mathcal{D}}{\Longrightarrow} (\Delta_t)_{t \geq 0}. \tag{2.6}$$

We introduce a real constant $m = \frac{1}{2}$, defined later as the mean of some geometric random variables related to the behavior of the walk in the horizontal direction[1]. Using Theorem 3, we shall prove

Theorem 4 (Functional limit theorem)

$$\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/4}} M_{[nt]} \right)_{t \geq 0} \overset{\mathcal{D}}{\Longrightarrow} \frac{m}{(1+m)^{3/4}} (\Delta_t, 0)_{t \geq 0}. \tag{2.7}$$

Remark : It is not surprising that the vertical component is negligible towards $n^{3/4}$ because its fluctuations are of order $\sqrt{n}$. We suspect that we have in fact

$$\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/4}} M_{[nt]}^{(1)}, \frac{1}{n^{1/2}} M_{[nt]}^{(2)} \right)_{t \geq 0} \overset{\mathcal{D}}{\Longrightarrow} \left(\frac{m}{(1+m)^{3/4}} \Delta_t, B_t \right)_{t \geq 0}$$

but this is not straightforward because the horizontal $(M^{(1)})$ and vertical $(M^{(2)})$ components are not independent. We believe that $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(\Delta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ are independent but this also has to be proved.

3 Proofs

3.1 Vertical and horizontal embeddings of the simple random walk

The simple random walk M defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mathbb{P})$ can be decomposed into vertical and horizontal embeddings by projection to the corresponding axis. The vertical one is a simple random walk $Y = (Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ on $\mathbb{Z}$ and we define for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ its *local time* at the level $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\eta_n(y) = \sum_{k=0}^n \mathbf{1}_{Y_k = y}.$$

---

[1]Our results are in fact valid for similar model for which $m \neq \frac{1}{2}$ corresponding to non symmetric nearest neighbors random walks. Of course the transience is not at all surprising in this case, but getting the limit theorems can be of interest.

The horizontal embedding is a random walk with $\mathbb{N}$-valued geometric jumps: a doubly infinite family $(\xi_i^{(y)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*, y \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of independent geometric random variables of mean $m = \frac{1}{2}$ is given and one defines the embedded horizontal random walk $X = (X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by $X_0 = 0$ and for $n \geq 1$,

$$X_n = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} \epsilon_y \sum_{i=1}^{\eta_{n-1}(y)} \xi_i^{(y)}$$

with the convention that the last sum is zero when $\eta_{n-1}(y) = 0$. Of course, the walk M_n does not coincide with (X_n, Y_n) but these objects are closely related: Define for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$T_n = n + \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1}^{\eta_{n-1}(y)} \xi_i^{(y)}$$

to be the instant just after the random walk M has performed its nth vertical move. A direct and useful consequence of this decomposition is the following result [2].

Lemma 1   *1. $M_{T_n} = (X_n, Y_n)$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

2. For a given orientation ϵ, the transience of $(M_{T_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ implies the transience of $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

3.2   Proof of the transience of the simple random walk

The vertical walk Y, independent of ϵ, is known to be recurrent with fluctuations of order $\sqrt{n}$. For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, δ_i is a strictly positive real number and we write $d_{n,i} = n^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta_i}$ to introduce a partition of Ω between typical or untypical paths of Y:

$$A_n = \left\{ \omega \in \Omega; \max_{0 \leq k \leq 2n} |Y_k| < d_{n,1} \right\} \cap \left\{ \omega \in \Omega; \max_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta_{2n-1}(y) < d_{n,2} \right\}$$

and

$$B_n = \left\{ \omega \in A_n; \left| \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} \epsilon_y \eta_{2n-1}(y) \right| > d_{n,3} \right\}.$$

We first consider the joint measures $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_\mu = \mathbb{P} \otimes \mathbb{P}_\mu$ (annealed case) or $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)} = \mathbb{P} \otimes \mathbb{P}_T^{(x)}$ (quenched case) and prove that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_\mu[X_{2n} = 0; Y_{2n} = 0] \; < \; \infty. \tag{3.8}$$

By definition

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_\mu[X_{2n} = 0; Y_{2n} = 0] = \int_E \sum_n \mathbb{P}[\mathbb{P}_T^{(x)}[X_{2n} = 0; Y_{2n} = 0]] d\mu(x)$$

and we first decompose $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}[X_{2n} = 0; Y_{2n} = 0]$ into

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}[X_{2n} = 0; Y_{2n} = 0; A_n^c] + \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}[X_{2n} = 0; Y_{2n} = 0; B_n] + \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}[X_{2n} = 0; Y_{2n} = 0; A_n \setminus B_n].$$

Some results of the i.i.d. case of [2] still hold uniformly in x and in particular we can prove using standard techniques the following

6

Lemma 2   *1. For every $x \in E$, $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}[X_{2n} = 0; Y_{2n} = 0; A_n^c] < \infty$.*

2. For every $x \in E$, $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}[X_{2n} = 0; Y_{2n} = 0; B_n] < \infty$.

Define the σ-algebras $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(Y)$ and $\mathcal{G} = \sigma(\epsilon)$ generated by the families of r.v.'s Y and ϵ. Then one has

$$p_n^{(x)} := \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}[X_{2n} = 0; Y_{2n} = 0; A_n \setminus B_n] = \mathbb{E}\Big[\mathbf{1}_{Y_{2n}=0}\mathbb{E}\big[\mathbf{1}_{A_n \setminus B_n}\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}[X_{2n} = 0|\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G}]\big|\mathcal{F}\big]\Big].$$

. To prove the theorem, it remains to show that

$$\int_E \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p_n^{(x)}\right) d\mu(x) < \infty. \tag{3.9}$$

Recall that for the simple random walk Y, there exists $C > 0$ s.t.

$$\mathbb{P}[Y_{2n} = 0] \sim C \cdot n^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \ n \to +\infty \tag{3.10}$$

and we can prove as in [2] the

Lemma 3 *On the set $A_n \setminus B_n$, we have uniformly in $x \in E$,*

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}\big[X_{2n} = 0|\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G}\big] = \mathcal{O}\Big(\sqrt{\frac{\ln n}{n}}\Big). \tag{3.11}$$

Hence, the transience of the simple random walk is a direct consequence of the following

Proposition 1 *It is possible to choose $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3 > 0$ such that there exists $\delta > 0$ and*

$$\int_E \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}\big[A_n \setminus B_n|\mathcal{F}\big] \ d\mu(x) = \mathcal{O}\big(n^{-\delta}\big). \tag{3.12}$$

Proof : We have to estimate, on the event A_n, the conditional probability

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}[|\sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} \zeta_y| \leq d_{n,3}|\mathcal{F}]$$

where $\zeta_y = \epsilon_y \eta_{2n-1}(y), y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let G be a centered Gaussian random variable with variance $d_{n,3}^2$, (conditionally on $\mathcal{F}$) independent of the random variables ζ_y's. Clearly,

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}\big[\sum_y \zeta_y \in [0, d_{n,3}]\big|\mathcal{F}\big] = \frac{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}\big[\sum_y \zeta_y \in [0, d_{n,3}]; 0 \leq G \leq d_{n,3}\big|\mathcal{F}\big]}{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}[0 \leq G \leq d_{n,3}|\mathcal{F}]}$$

where $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}[0 \leq G \leq d_{n,3}|\mathcal{F}] = c > 0$ is independent of n. Since G is independent of the random variables ζ_y's and using the symmetry of the Gaussian distribution, we have

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}\big[\sum_y \zeta_y \in [0, d_{n,3}]; 0 \leq G \leq d_{n,3}\big|\mathcal{F}\big] = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}\big[\sum_y \zeta_y \in [0, d_{n,3}]; -d_{n,3} \leq G \leq 0\big|\mathcal{F}\big].$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}\big[\sum_y \zeta_y \in [0, d_{n,3}]\big|\mathcal{F}\big] \leq \frac{1}{c}\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}\big[|\sum_y \zeta_y + G| \leq d_{n,3}\big|\mathcal{F}\big] \text{ and}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}\big[\sum_y \zeta_y \in [-d_{n,3}, 0]\big|\mathcal{F}\big] \leq \frac{1}{c}\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}\big[|\sum_y \zeta_y + G| \leq d_{n,3}\big|\mathcal{F}\big]$$

and then, we have the following inequality

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}\big[|\sum_y \zeta_y| \leq d_{n,3}\big|\mathcal{F}\big] \leq \frac{2}{c}\,\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}\big[|\sum_y \zeta_y + G| \leq d_{n,3}\big|\mathcal{F}\big].$$

From Plancherel's formula, we deduce that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}\big[|\sum_y \zeta_y + G| \leq d_{n,3}\big|\mathcal{F}\big] \leq C \cdot d_{n,3} \cdot I_n(x) \tag{3.13}$$

where

$$I_n(x) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathbb{E}\big[e^{it \sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}} \epsilon_y \eta_{2n-1}(y)}\big|\mathcal{F}\big]e^{-t^2 d_{n,3}^2/2}dt.$$

To use that for $td_{n,3}$ small enough, $e^{-t^2 d_{n,3}^2/2}$ dominates the term under the expectation, we split the integral in two parts. For $b_n = \frac{n^{\delta_2}}{d_{n,3}}$, we write $I_n(x) = I_n^1(x) + I_n^2(x)$ with

$$I_n^1(x) = \int_{|t|\leq b_n} \mathbb{E}\big[e^{it \sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}} \epsilon_y \eta_{2n-1}(y)}\big|\mathcal{F}\big]e^{-t^2 d_{n,3}^2/2}dt$$

$$I_n^2(x) = \int_{|t|>b_n} \mathbb{E}\big[e^{it \sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}} \epsilon_y \eta_{2n-1}(y)}\big|\mathcal{F}\big]e^{-t^2 d_{n,3}^2/2}dt.$$

To control the integral $I_n^2(x)$, we write

$$|I_n^2(x)| \leq C\int_{|t|>b_n} e^{-t^2 d_{n,3}^2/2}dt = \frac{C}{d_{n,3}}\int_{|s|>n^{\delta_2}} e^{-s^2/2}ds \leq \frac{2C}{d_{n,3}}n^{-\delta_2}e^{-n^{2\delta_2}/2}$$

to get uniformly in $x \in E$

$$|I_n^2(x)| = \mathcal{O}\big(e^{-n^{2\delta_2}/2}\big).$$

Lemma 4 *For $\delta_3 > 2\delta_2$,*

$$\int_E |I_n^1(x)|\,d\mu(x) = \mathcal{O}\big(n^{-\frac{3}{4}+\frac{\delta_1}{2}}\big).$$

Proof : From the definition of the orientations $(\epsilon_y)_y$, an explicit formula for the characteristic function $\phi_{\epsilon_y}^{(x)}$ of the random variable ϵ_y can be given and we deduce that

$$|\phi_{\epsilon_y}^{(x)}(u)|^2 = \cos^2(u) + (2f(T^y x) - 1)^2 \sin^2(u) = 1 - 4f(T^y x)(1 - f(T^y x))\sin^2(u)$$

and by independence of the ϵ's we get

$$|I_n^1(x)| \leq \int_{|t|\leq b_n} |\prod_y \phi_{\epsilon_y}^{(x)}(\eta_{2n-1}(y)t)|\,dt.$$

8

Denote $p_{n,y} = \frac{\eta_{2n-1}(y)}{2n}$, $C_n = \{y : \eta_{2n-1}(y) \neq 0\}$ and use Hölder's inequality to get

$$|I_n^1(x)| \leq \prod_y \left[\left(\int_{|t| \leq b_n} |\phi_{\epsilon_y}^{(x)}(\eta_{2n-1}(y)t)|^{1/p_{n,y}} dt \right)^{p_{n,y}} \right].$$

Now, using the fact that we work on A_n, we choose $\delta_3 > 2\delta_2$ s.t. $\lim_n b_n \eta_{2n-1}(y) = 0$ uniformly in y. Using $\sin(x) \geq \frac{2}{\pi} x$ for $x \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ and $\exp(-x) \geq 1 - x$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
|I_n^1(x)| &\leq \prod_{y \in C_n} \left(\frac{1}{\eta_{2n-1}(y)} \int_{|v| \leq b_n \eta_{2n-1}(y)} \exp\left(-\frac{16}{p_{n,y} \pi^2} f(T^y x)(1 - f(T^y x)) v^2 \right) dv \right)^{p_{n,y}} \\
&\leq \prod_{y \in C_n} \left(\frac{c \mathbf{1}_{f(T^y x)(1 - f(T^y x)) > 0}}{\sqrt{2n \eta_{2n-1}(y) f(T^y x)(1 - f(T^y x))}} \right)^{p_{n,y}} \quad \text{(with} \quad c = \pi^{3/2}/4) \\
&= c \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{y \in C_n} p_{n,y} \log(2n \eta_{2n-1}(y)) \right] \cdot \prod_{y \in C_n} \left(\frac{\mathbf{1}_{f(T^y x)(1 - f(T^y x)) > 0}}{\sqrt{f(T^y x)(1 - f(T^y x))}} \right)^{p_{n,y}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The vector $\mathbf{p} = (p_{n,y})_{y \in C_n}$ defines a probability measure on C_n and we have

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{y \in C_n} p_{n,y} \log(2n \eta_{2n-1}(y)) = -\log 2n - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y \in C_n} p_{n,y} \log p_{n,y} = -\log 2n + \frac{1}{2} H(\mathbf{p})$$

where $H(\cdot)$ is the entropy of the probability vector $\mathbf{p}$, always bounded by $\log(\mathrm{card}(C_n))$. We thus have on the set A_n,

$$|I_n^1(x)| \leq c \exp\left[-\log 2n + \frac{1}{2} \log(2d_{n,1}) \right] \prod_{y \in C_n} \left(\frac{\mathbf{1}_{\{f(T^y x)(1 - f(T^y x)) > 0\}}}{\sqrt{f(T^y x)(1 - f(T^y x))}} \right)^{p_{n,y}}.$$

By applying Hölder's inequality and the fact that T preserves the measure μ, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_E |I_n^1(x)| \, d\mu(x) &\leq C \cdot n^{-\frac{3}{4} + \frac{\delta_1}{2}} \int_E \prod_{y \in C_n} \left(\frac{\mathbf{1}_{\{f(T^y x)(1 - f(T^y x)) > 0\}}}{\sqrt{f(T^y x)(1 - f(T^y x))}} \right)^{p_{n,y}} d\mu(x) \\
&\leq C \cdot n^{-\frac{3}{4} + \frac{\delta_1}{2}} \prod_{y \in C_n} \left[\int_E \left(\frac{\mathbf{1}_{\{f(T^y x)(1 - f(T^y x)) > 0\}}}{\sqrt{f(T^y x)(1 - f(T^y x))}} \right) d\mu(x) \right]^{p_{n,y}} \\
&= C \cdot n^{-\frac{3}{4} + \frac{\delta_1}{2}} \int_E \frac{1}{\sqrt{f(x)(1 - f(x))}} \, d\mu(x). \qquad \diamond
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, using (3.13), write with the usual notation $d_{n,3} = n^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta_3}$:

$$\int_E \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_T^{(x)}[A_n \setminus B_n | \mathcal{F}] \, d\mu(x) \leq C \cdot d_{n,3} \int_E \left(|I_n^1(x)| + |I_n^2(x)| \right) d\mu(x)$$

and consider $\delta_3 > 2\delta_2$. By the previous lemmata, we have

$$d_{n,3} \cdot \int_E |I_n^1(x)| \, d\mu(x) = \mathcal{O}\left(n^{-\frac{1}{4} + \delta_3 + \frac{\delta_1}{2}} \right), \quad d_{n,3} \cdot \int_E |I_n^2(x)| \, d\mu(x) = \mathcal{O}\left(e^{-n^{2\delta_2}/2} \right)$$

and the proposition follows by choosing $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3$ small enough. $\diamond$

9

Combining Equations (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12), we obtain (3.9) and then (3.8). By Borel-Cantelli's Lemma, we get :

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_\mu\big[M_{T_n} = (0,0) \text{ i.o.}\big] = \mathbb{P}_\mu\big[\mathbb{P}\big[\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{T_n}} = (0,0) \text{ i.o.}\big]\big] = 0$$

and thus for $\mathbb{P}_\mu$-almost every orientation ϵ, $\mathbb{P}\big[M_{T_n} = (0,0) \text{ i.o.}\big] = 0$. This proves that $(M_{T_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is transient for $\mathbb{P}_\mu$-almost every orientation ϵ, and by Lemma 1, the $\mathbb{P}_\mu$-almost sure transience of the simple random walk on the annealed oriented lattice. Transience in the quenched case is a direct consequence of the transience in the annealed case.

3.3 Proof of the strong law of large numbers

Lemma 5 (SLLN for the embedded random walk)

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \frac{M_{T_n}}{n} = (0,0) \quad \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_\mu\text{-almost surely.} \tag{3.14}$$

Proof : Since $(Y_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a simple random walk, $\frac{Y_n}{n}$ goes to 0 as $n \to \infty$ $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_\mu$-a.s. as $n \to \infty$ and it is enough to prove that $(\frac{X_n}{n})$ converges almost surely to 0. Introduce

$$Z_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \epsilon_{Y_k} = \sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}} \epsilon_y \eta_{n-1}(y).$$

Under the probability measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_\mu$, the stationary sequence $(\epsilon_{Y_k})_{k\geq 0}$ is ergodic [9], so from Birkhoff's theorem, as n tends to infinity,

$$\frac{Z_n}{n} \to \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_0] = 0 \quad \text{almost surely.}$$

Clearly, $X_n - mZ_n = \sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}} \epsilon_y \sum_{i=1}^{\eta_{n-1}(y)}(\xi_i^{(y)} - m)$ and for an even integer r

$$\mathbb{E}[(X_n - mZ_n)^r] = \sum_{y_1\in\mathbb{Z},\dots y_r\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}\left[\epsilon_{y_1}\dots\epsilon_{y_r} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\eta_{n-1}(y_1)} \dots \sum_{i_r=1}^{\eta_{n-1}(y_r)} \mathbb{E}[(\xi_{i_1}^{(y_1)} - m)\dots(\xi_{i_r}^{(y_r)} - m)|\mathcal{F}\vee\mathcal{G}]\right].$$

The $\xi_i^{(y)}$'s are independent of the vertical walk and the orientations; moreover, the random variables $\xi_i^{(y)} - m, i \geq 1, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ are i.i.d. and centered, so the summands are non zero if and only if $i_1 = \dots = i_r$ and $y_1 = \dots = y_r$. Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[(X_n - mZ_n)^r] = n\mathbb{E}[(\xi_1^{(0)} - m)^r] := nm_r \qquad \text{(say)}.$$

Let $\delta > 0$. By Tchebychev's inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{X_n - mZ_n}{n}\right| \geq \epsilon\right] \leq \frac{1}{\delta^r n^r}\mathbb{E}[(X_n - mZ_n)^r] \leq \frac{m_r}{\delta^r n^{r-1}}.$$

We choose $r = 4$ and thus from Borel-Cantelli Lemma, we deduce that $\frac{X_n - mZ_n}{n}$ converges almost surely to 0 as n goes to infinity. $\diamond$

Using similar techniques, one also proves the

Lemma 6 *The sequence $(\frac{T_n}{n})_{n\geq 1}$ converges $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_\mu$-a.s. to $(1+m)$ as $n \to +\infty$.*

Let us prove now the almost sure convergence of the sequence $(\frac{M_n}{n})_{n\geq 1}$ to $(0,0)$. Since the sequence $(T_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is strictly increasing, there exists a non-decreasing sequence of integers sequence $(U_{n\geq 1})_n$ such that $T_{U_n} \leq n < T_{U_n+1}$. Denote $M_n = (M_n^{(1)}, M_n^{(2)})$, then we have $M_n^{(1)} \in [\min(M_{T_{U_n}}^{(1)}, M_{T_{U_n+1}}^{(1)}), \max(M_{T_{U_n}}^{(1)}, M_{T_{U_n+1}}^{(1)})]$ and $M_n^{(2)} = M_{T_{U_n}}^{(2)}$, by definition of the embedding. The (sub-)sequence $(U_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is nondecreasing and $\lim_{n\to+\infty} U_n = +\infty$, and then by combining Lemmata 5 and 6, we get that as $n \to +\infty$,

$$\frac{M_{T_{U_n}}}{T_{U_n}} \to (0,0) \ \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_\mu \text{ a.s.} \tag{3.15}$$

Now,

$$\left|\frac{M_n^{(1)}}{n}\right| \leq \max\left(\left|\frac{M_{T_{U_n}}^{(1)}}{n}\right|, \left|\frac{M_{T_{U_n+1}}^{(1)}}{n}\right|\right) \leq \max\left(\left|\frac{M_{T_{U_n}}^{(1)}}{T_{U_n}}\right|, \left|\frac{M_{T_{U_n+1}}^{(1)}}{T_{U_n}}\right|\right)$$

and

$$\left|\frac{M_n^{(2)}}{n}\right| = \left|\frac{M_{T_{U_n}}^{(2)}}{T_{U_n}}\right| \cdot \frac{T_{U_n}}{n} \leq \left|\frac{M_{T_{U_n}}^{(2)}}{T_{U_n}}\right|.$$

From (3.15), we deduce the almost sure convergence of the coordinates to 0 and then this of the sequence $(\frac{M_n}{n})_{n\geq 1}$ to (0,0) as $n \to \infty$.

3.4   Proof of the functional limit theorem

Proposition 2 *The sequence of random processes $n^{-3/4}(X_{[nt]})_{t\geq 0}$ weakly converges in the space $\mathcal{D}([0,\infty[,\mathbb{R})$ to the process $(m\Delta_t)_{t\geq 0}$.*

Proof : Let us first prove that the finite dimensional distributions of $n^{-3/4}(X_{[nt]})_{t\geq 0}$ converge to those of $(m\Delta_t)_{t\geq 0}$ as $n \to \infty$. We can rewrite for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $X_n = X_n^{(1)} + X_n^{(2)}$ where

$$X_n^{(1)} = \sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}} \epsilon_y \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\eta_{n-1}(y)} \xi_i^{(y)} - m\right) \quad, \quad X_n^{(2)} = m\sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}} \epsilon_y \eta_{n-1}(y).$$

Thanks to Theorem 3 the finite dimensional distributions of $n^{-3/4}(X_{[nt]}^{(2)})_{t\geq 0}$ converge to those of $(m\Delta_t)_{t\geq 0}$ as $n \to \infty$. To conclude we show that the sequence of random variables $n^{-3/4}(X_n^{(1)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges for the L^2-norm to 0 as $n \to +\infty$. We have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(X_n^{(1)})^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{x,y\in\mathbb{Z}} \epsilon_x\epsilon_y \sum_{i=1}^{\eta_{n-1}(x)} \sum_{j=1}^{\eta_{n-1}(y)} \mathbb{E}[(\xi_i^{(x)} - m)(\xi_j^{(y)} - m)|\mathcal{F}\vee\mathcal{G}]\right]$$

From the equality

$$\mathbb{E}[(\xi_i^{(x)} - m)(\xi_j^{(y)} - m)|\mathcal{F}\vee\mathcal{G}] = m^2\delta_{i,j}\delta_{x,y},$$

we obtain

$$n^{-3/2}\mathbb{E}\left[(X_n^{(1)})^2\right] = m^2 n^{-3/2}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}} \eta_{n-1}(x) = m^2 n^{-1/2} = o(1). \ \ \diamond$$

Let us recall that $M_{T_n} = (X_n, Y_n)$ for every $n \geq 1$. The sequence of random processes $n^{-3/4}(Y_{[nt]})_{t \geq 0}$ weakly converges in $\mathcal{D}([0, \infty[, \mathbb{R})$ to 0, thus the sequence of $\mathbb{R}^2$−valued random processes $n^{-3/4}(M_{T_{[nt]}})_{t \geq 0}$ weakly converges in $\mathcal{D}([0, \infty[, \mathbb{R}^2)$ to the process $(m\Delta_t, 0)_{t \geq 0}$. Theorem 4 follows from this remark and Lemma 6.

4 Examples

The main motivation of this work is the generalization of the transience of the i.i.d. case of [2] to dependent or inhomogeneous orientations. We obtain various extensions corresponding to well known examples of dynamical systems such that Bernoulli and Markov shifts, SRB measures, rotations on the torus, etc., our framework is very general from this point of view. To get the transience of the walk, we need to generate the orientations by choosing a suitable function f satisfying (2.3), which requires in some sense the model not to be too close to the deterministic case: because to satisfy it, f should not be "μ-too often" 0 or 1. We describe now the examples providing extensions of the i.i.d. case to various disordered orientations.

1. Shifts: Bernoulli and Markov shifts provide the more natural field of application of Theorem 1, including a dynamical construction of the i.i.d. case of [2] and a straightforward extension to inhomogeneous or dependent orientations. Consider the *shift transformation T* on the product space $E = [0, 1]^{\mathbb{Z}}$ endowed with the Borel σ-algebra, defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
T : E &\longrightarrow E \\
x = (x_y)_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} &\longmapsto (Tx)_y = x_{y+1}, \forall y \in \mathbb{Z}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Bernoulli shifts are considered when one starts from the product Lebesgue measure $\mu = \lambda^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}}$ of the Lebesgue measure λ on $[0, 1]$. It is T-invariant and we choose as generating function f the projection on the zero coordinate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f : E &\longrightarrow [0, 1] \\
x &\longmapsto x_0.
\end{aligned}
$$

For all $y \in \mathbb{Z}$, we then have $f \circ T^y(x) = x_y := \xi(y) \in [0, 1]$. We consider this ξ's as new random variables on E whose independence is inherited from the product structure of μ. The sufficient condition (2.3) becomes

$$
\int_0^1 \frac{d\lambda(x)}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}} < \infty
$$

and the transience holds in this particular case. In the annealed case, the product form of μ allows another description of the i.i.d. case of [2], for which we check $\xi(y) \equiv \frac{1}{2}$ for all $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathrm{Cov}_\mu[\epsilon_0, \epsilon_y] = \mathbb{E}_\mu[\epsilon_0 \epsilon_y] = 4\mathbb{E}[\xi(0)\xi(y)] - 1 = 0$. The result is also valid in the quenched case, for which the distribution of the orientation has an inhomogeneous product form.

If one considers a measure μ with correlations, then the same holds for $\mathbb{P}_\mu$. Consider e.g. μ to be a (shift-invariant) *Markovian measure* on $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{Z}}$ whose correlations are inherited from the shift via (2.2), with a stationary distribution π. The transience of the simple random walk on this particular dynamically oriented lattice holds then for $\mathbb{P}_\mu$-a.e. environment as soon as

$$
\int_0^1 \frac{d\pi(x)}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}} < \infty.
$$

It is the case when the invariant measure μ is the usual Lebesgue measure or Lebesgue measure of index p. In the quenched case, there are no correlations by construction and the law of the orientations depends on the measurable transformation only. This case is nevertheless different from this of the Bernoulli shift because the typical set of points x for which the transience holds depends on the measure μ.

2. SRB measures: They provide another source of examples for dependent orientations generated by transformations on the interval $E = [0, 1]$. A measure μ of the dynamical system S is said to be an *SRB* measure if the empirical measure $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{T^i(x)}$ converge weakly to μ for Lebesgue a.e. x. There exist many other definitions of SRB measures, see e.g. [8]. In particular, it has the *Bowen boundedness property* in the sense that it is close to a Gibbs measure on some increasing cylinder, i.e. there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $x \in [0, 1]$ and every $n \geq 1$

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \frac{\mu(I_{i_1,\ldots,i_n}(x))}{\exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Phi(T^k(x))\right)} \leq C$$

where $\Phi = -\log|T'|$ and $I_{i_1,\ldots,i_n}$ is the interval of monotonicity for T^n which contains x.

In some cases, it is possible to control the correlations for SRB measures and we detail now an example where our transience result holds, the *Manneville-Pomeau maps* introduced in the 1980's to study intermittency phenomenon in the study of turbulence in chaotic systems [1]. They are expanding interval maps on $E = [0, 1]$ and the original MP map is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
T : [0,1] &\longrightarrow [0,1] \\
x &\longmapsto T(x) = x + x^{1+\alpha} \bmod 1.
\end{aligned}
$$

The existence of an absolutely continuous (w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$) SRB invariant measure μ has been established by [15] and the following bounds of Radon-Nikodym derivative $h = \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda}$ has been proved [14]:

$$\exists C_\star, C^\star > 0 \text{ s.t. } \frac{C_\star}{x^\alpha} < h(x) < \frac{C^\star}{x^\alpha}. \tag{4.16}$$

This measure is known to be mixing, and a polynomial decay of correlation, with a power $\beta > 0$, has even been proved for g regular enough [7, 11, 14, 16] :

$$|C_\mu^g(y)| = \mathcal{O}(|y|^{-\beta}).$$

The map T is not invertible but we use the remark following Theorem 1. It remains to find suitable function f who generates orientations for which the simple random walk is transient. By (4.16), a sufficient condition for the condition (2.3) to hold is

$$\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x^\alpha \sqrt{f(x)(1-f(x))}} < \infty$$

and this is for example true for the function $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + x - T(x))$ and the choice of an $\alpha < \frac{1}{3}$.

3. Rotations: We consider the dynamical system $S = ([0, 1], \mathcal{B}([0, 1]), \lambda, T_\alpha)$ where T_α is the rotation on the torus $[0, 1]$ with angle $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$x \longmapsto x + \alpha \bmod 1$$

13

and λ is the Lebesgue measure on $[0,1]$. For every function $f : [0,1] \mapsto [0,1]$ such that $\int_0^1 f(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{f(x)(1-f(x))}} < \infty,$$

conclusions of Theorem 1 hold uniformly in α. Such functions are called *admissible*. Every function uniformly bounded from 0 and 1, with integral $\frac{1}{2}$ is admissible. We also allow functions f to take values 0 and 1: for instance, $f_1(x) = x$ is admissible although $f_2(x) = \cos^2(2\pi x)$ is not. We actually have no explanations about this phenomenon, moreover we do not know the behavior (recurrence or transience) of the simple random walk on the dynamically oriented lattice generated by f_2.

When the generation function f does not satisfy the condition (2.3), a variety of results can arise by tuning the angle α to get different types of dynamical systems. Consider $f_3 = \mathbf{1}_{[0,1/2[}$ and take $\alpha = \frac{1}{2q}$ for q an integer larger or equal to 1; the lattice we obtain is $\mathbb{Z}^2$ with undirected vertical lines and horizontal strips of height q, alternatively oriented to the left then to the right. The simple random walk on this deterministic and periodic lattice is known to be recurrent [2] and this provides an example of a non ergodic system where (2.3) is not fulfilled and the walk recurrent. When the period becomes infinite, i.e. for $\alpha = 0$, the rotation is just the identity and the corresponding lattice is $\mathbb{Z}^2$ with undirected vertical lines and horizontal lines all oriented to the right (resp. all to the left) when $x \in [0, 1/2[$ (resp. $x \notin [0, 1/2[$). The simple random walk on this lattice is known to be transient and this gives an example of a non ergodic system where (2.3) is not fulfilled and the walk transient. In the ergodic case, i.e. when α is irrational, we suspect the behavior of the walk to exhibit a transition according to the type of the irrational α. When its approximation by rational numbers via a development in continuous fraction is considered to be good, i.e. when its type is large, the lattice is "quasi" periodic and the walk is believed to be recurrent. On the other hand, the walk is believed to be transient when this approximation is bad (when the type of the irrational is close to 1). A deeper study of this particular choice of dynamical system, in progress, is needed to describe more precisely the transition between recurrence and transience in terms of the type of the irrational.

5 Comments

We have extended the results of [2] to non-independent or inhomogeneous orientations. In particular, we have proved that the simple random walk is still transient for a large class of models. As the walk can be recurrent for deterministic orientations, it would be interesting to perturb deterministic cases in order to get a full picture of the transience versus recurrence properties and a more systematic study of this problem is in progress. We believe that the functional limit theorem could be extended, at least to other ergodic dynamical systems, but this requires new results on random walks in ergodic random sceneries. In the i.i.d. case, Campanino *et al.* have also proved an improvement of the strong law of large numbers for the random walk in the random scenery Z_n: almost surely, $\frac{Z_n}{n^\beta} \longrightarrow 0$ for all $\beta > \frac{3}{4}$. Together with our functional limit theorem and the standard results for the vertical walk, this suggests the conjecture of a local limit theorem, getting a full picture of "purely random cases", for which the condition on the generation f holds. This work is in progress and we also investigate the limit theorems in more general cases.

14

References

[1] P. Bergé, Y. Pomeau and Ch. Vidal, *L'ordre dans le chaos (collection enseignement des sciences)*, Hermann, Paris, 1992.

[2] M. Campanino and D. Pétritis. Random walks on randomly oriented lattices. *Mark. Proc. Relat. Fields*, **9**:391–412, 2003.

[3] M. Campanino and D. Pétritis. On the physical relevance of random walks: an example of random walks on randomly oriented lattices, in "Random walks and geometry", V. Kaimanovitch (ed.), Walter de Gruyter, 393–411, 2004.

[4] R. Fernández, J. Fröhlich and A. D. Sokal. *Random-Walks, Critical Phenomena, and Triviality in Quantum Field Theory.* Springer-Verlag (Texts and Monographs in Physics), 1992.

[5] N. Guillotin. Asymptotics of a dynamic random walk in a random scenery: I. Law of large numbers. *Annales de l'I.H.P* **36**:127–151, 2000.

[6] N. Guillotin. Asymptotics of a dynamic random walk in a random scenery: II. A functional limit theorem. *Mark. Proc. Relat. Fields* **5**:201–218, 1999.

[7] H. Hu. Decay of correlations for piecewise smooth maps with indifferent fixed points. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **24**:495–524, 2004.

[8] M. Jiang. Sinai-Ruelle-Bowen measures for lattice dynamical systems. *J. Stat. Phys.* **111**, no 3/4, 863–902, 2003.

[9] S. Kakutani. Random ergodic theorems and Markoff processes with a stable distribution. *Proceedings of the Second Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, 1950, pp. 247–261.* University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1951.

[10] G. Keller. Equilibrium states in ergodic theory. *London Mathematical society*, students texts 42, Cambridge University Press, 1998.

[11] C. Liverani, B. Saussol and S. Vaienti. Conformal measure and decay of correlation for covering weighted systems. *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.* **18**, 1399–1420, 1998.

[12] H. Kesten and F. Spitzer. A limit theorem related to a new class of self similar processes. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **50**:5–25, 1979.

[13] P. Leroux. Coassociate grammars, periodic orbits and quantum random walks over $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Prépublication Irmar, Université de Rennes 1, 2003.

[14] C. Maes, F. Redig, F. Takens, A. van Moffaert and E. Verbistkiy. Intermittency and weakly Gibbs states. *Nonlinearity* **13**:1681–1698, 2000.

[15] G. Pianigiani. First return map and invariant measures. *Isr. J. Math.* **35**, 32–48, 1980.

[16] L. Young. Recurrence times and rates of mixing. *Isr. J. Math.* **110**, 153–188, 1998.